Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/540,089	BRANEMARK, PER-INGVAR
Examiner	Art Unit
Nicholas Woodall	3733

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compilance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have 50 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any arended patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on
AMENDMENTS
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 11-35 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/Nicholas Woodall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733 Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant's argument that the leading wall and the trailing wall as shown in the final office action do not slope forwardly in the direction of rotation is not persuasive. Figure 1 of the final office action shows the device of Olson from a bottom view. The examiner has labled the direction of rotation, the insertion end of the device, the leading wall of the slot, and the trailing wall of the slot. As shown in Figure 1 of the final office action the device rotates counter-clockwise when viewed from the bottom view. The leading wall of the trailing walls as indicated by the examiner slope in the direction of rotation as shown in the figure. Furthermore, the examiner would like to note that the claim does not provide any limitations as to which surface the slot walls are located. Therefore, the examiner is free to interpret any wall of the slot a ste leading wall and the trailing wall off the slot a ste leading wall of the sort.